Filed
Caroline Woodburn
District Clerk
12/5/2013 2:53:01 PM
Potter County, Texas
By ______ Deputy

	101931-C
CAUSE NO.	

MARK KLINK, Individually and As Representative of the Estate of CADE KLINK And RENESSA KLINK, Individually Plaintiffs,	§ § §	IN THE 251ST DISTRICT COURT
, 	§ §	OF
V.	§ §	
GSM LAND HOLDINGS, LTD., And	§	
AGRISERVICES MANAGEMENT, LLC	§	
And GARTH MERRICK	§	
Defendants.	§	POTTER COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COME NOW, Plaintiffs in the above entitled cause complaining of Defendants named herein and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

I. DISCOVERY

1.01 Pursuant to Rule 190.1 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs intend to conduct discovery in this case under level 3 (Rule 190.4 Texas Rules of Civil Procedure).

II. PARTIES

- 2.02 Plaintiff, Renessa Klink is the mother of Cade Klink and a resident of Texas. Renessa Klink's driver's license number is XXXXX447. Renessa Klink's social security number is XXX-XX-X964.

- 2.03 Defendant GSM Land Holdings, LTD (hereinafter "GSM"), is a domestic limited partnership. Defendant GSM may be served with process by and through its registered agent: Garth Merrick, 700 S. Avondale Street, Amarillo, TX 79106.
- 2.04 Defendant Agriservices Management, LLC (hereinafter "Agriservices") is a domestic limited liability company. Defendant Agriservices is a general partner for GSM. Defendant Agriservices may be served with process by and through its registered agent: Garth Merrick, 700 S. Avondale Street, Amarillo, TX 79106.
- 2.05 Defendant Garth Merrick is a resident of the State of Texas. Defendant Merrick may be serviced with process as follows: Garth Merrick, 700 S. Avondale Street, Amarillo, TX 79106.

III. VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 3.01 All or a substantial part of the acts/omissions giving rise to the incident in question occurred in Potter County, Texas, and therefore, venue is proper in Potter County, Texas, pursuant to § 15.002(a)(1), Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code.
- 3.02 The amount of the Plaintiffs' damages are substantial and well in excess of the jurisdictional minimums of this Court. Many elements of damage cannot be determined with mathematical precision. Furthermore, the determination of many elements of damage is peculiarly within the province of the jury. Plaintiffs do not at this time seek any certain amount of damages for any elements of damage, but would instead rely upon the collective wisdom of the jury to determine an amount that would fairly hold Defendants accountable for their conduct. In order to comply with Tex. R. Civ. P. 47(c)(5), Plaintiffs seek monetary relief over \$1,000,000.00. Plaintiffs also seek judgment for all other relief to which Plaintiffs are entitled. Plaintiffs reserve the right to file an amended pleading on this issue should subsequent evidence show this figure to be either too high or too low.

IV. PLAINTIFF'S NARRATIVE

- 4.01 Defendants own and possess a building at 1015 S. Polk Street in Amarillo, Texas. Defendants' building contains an old elevator system which was not properly inspected and maintained. Specifically, the elevator doors did not properly lock to prevent opening directly to the elevator shaft. Defendants had performed substantial renovations on the building but did not bring the elevator up to code. Upon information and belief, the elevator had not been inspected as required by Texas law and could not pass the minimum safety requirements. As a result, the elevator created a hazard. Defendants knew or should have known of the hazard but did nothing about the danger.
- 4.02 On November 4, 2013, Cade Klink fell down the open and unprotected elevator shaft. Cade Klink suffered life-threatening injuries which ultimately resulted in his death.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

Premises Liability & Negligence

- Plaintiffs seek damages for premises liability. (a) Cade Klink was an invitee, (b) Defendants were possessors of the premises, (c) a condition on the premises (the faulty elevator) posed an unreasonable risk of harm, (d) Defendants knew or reasonably should have known of the danger, (e) Defendants breached their duty of ordinary care by failing to adequately warn of the condition and failing to make the condition reasonably safe, and (f) Defendants' breach proximately caused Cade Klink's injuries and death.
- 5.02 Defendants acts and omissions also constitute negligence. Defendants' negligence proximately caused Cade Klink's injuries and death.

Gross Negligence

5.03 The above-mentioned acts of negligence on the part of Defendants was of such character as to make Defendants guilty of gross negligence. Defendants' acts of negligence when viewed objectively from the standpoint of Defendants involved an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to others. Defendants had actual, subjective awareness of this risk, but nevertheless proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, and welfare of Plaintiffs. The gross negligence of Defendants was a proximate cause of the incident and of the injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiffs. As a result of Defendants' gross negligence, Plaintiffs seek and are entitled to an award of exemplary damages.

VI. DAMAGES

Wrongful Death Claims

- 6.01 Plaintiffs, Mark and Renessa Klink, have suffered a severe losses associated with the death of their son, Cade Klink, and the resulting destruction of the parent-child relationship, including the loss of the positive benefits flowing from the love, comfort, companionship, and society from Cade Klink, Deceased.
- 6.02 As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiffs Mark and Renessa Klink have suffered damages for the wrongful death of their son as follows:
 - a. Pecuniary loss sustained in the past and which will reasonably be sustained in the future;
 - b. Loss of companionship and society sustained in the past and which will reasonably be sustained in the future;
 - c. Mental anguish sustained in the past and which will reasonably be sustained in the future;
 - d. Loss of consortium; and
 - e. Loss of care & support.

Survival Damages

- 6.03 Plaintiff Mark Klink as the representative of the Estate of Cade Klink brings this action pursuant to Section 71.021 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, commonly referred to as the "Survival Statute." Plaintiff would show that Cade Klink was not killed instantly in the incident in question. The following damages survived to his estate, for which Plaintiff sues:
 - a. Physical pain and mental anguish; and
 - b. Funeral and burial expenses.

VII. PRAYER

- 7.01 WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following:
 - a. Upon final trial, compensatory and exemplary damages as set forth above be awarded to Plaintiffs against Defendants;
 - b. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law;
 - c. Costs of court; and
 - d. Such other, further and different relief to which Plaintiffs may be justly entitled

Respectfully submitted,

GLASHEEN, VALLES & INDERMAN, L.L.P.

1302 Texas Avenue (79401)

P.O. Box 1976

Lubbock, TX. 79408

Tel: (806) 741-0284

Fax: (806) 329-0594

Chad Inderman

State Bar No. 24046133

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby respectfully demand a trial by jury in this cause and herewith pay the required fee.

Chad Inderman

Filed
Caroline Woodburn
District Clerk
12/5/2013 2:53:01 PM
Potter County, Texas
By ______ Deputy

Civil Case Information Sheet 101931-C

District Clerk 12/5/2013 2:53:01 PM COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): _ Potter County, Texas

Filed

Caroline Woodburn

_ Deputy

STYLED Mark Klink, Indiv. and as Rep. of the Estate of Cade Klink and Renessa Klink, Indiv. v. GSM Land Holdings, Ltd., et al Ву

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson) A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at

the time of filing.				-	1,000,000		
1. Contact information for person	n completing case information she	et: Names of parties in	ı case:		or entity completing sheet is:		
Name:	Email:	Plaintiff(s)/Petition	er(s):	Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner			
Chad Inderman	cinderman@glasheenlaw.com	n Mark Klink, Individ	dually and as		V-D Agency		
Address:	Telephone:	Rep. of the Estate of	of Cade Klink				
1302 Texas Avenue	806-776-1331		1 4/3		l Parties in Child Support Case:		
City/State/Zip:	Fax:	Defendant(s)/Respon					
Lubbock, TX 79401	806-329-0594	Agriservices Mana	Non-Custo		odial Parent:		
Signature:	State Bar No:	Garth Merrick	Semeni, SSC and				
MIL	24046133	[Attach additional page as	Presumed Fath		ration.		
2 Tudinata and time an identifica	the most important issue in the cas			-			
2. Indicate case type, or identity	Civil	e (select only 1):		Fam	ily Law		
	Civil			1 1 1 1 1 1	Post-judgment Actions		
Contract	Injury or Damage	Real Property	Marriage Rela	tionship	(non-Title IV-D)		
Deht/Contract	Assault/Battery	Eminent Domain/	Annulment		Enforcement		
Consumer/DTPA	Construction	Condemnation	Declare Mari	iage Void	Modification—Custody		
Debt/Contract	Defamation	Partition	Divorce □With Chil	d	Modification—Other		
Fraud/Misrepresentation Other Debt/Contract:	Malpractice Accounting	☐ Quiet Title ☐ Trespass to Try Title			Title IV-D		
Other Debt/Contract:	Legal	Other Property:	No Cinidi	CII	☐ Enforcement/Modification☐ Paternity		
Foreclosure	Medical	Gomes Croperty.			Reciprocals (UIFSA)		
☐Home Equity—Expedited	Other Professional		_		Support Order		
Other Foreclosure	Liability:						
Franchise		Related to Criminal	0.1 5				
Insurance	Motor Vehicle Accident	Matters	Other Fam		Parent-Child Relationship		
Landlord/Tenant	▼ Premises	Expunction	Enforce For	eign	☐Adoption/Adoption with Termination		
Non-Competition	Product Liability	☐Judgment Nisi ☐Non-Disclosure	Habeas Con	nie	Child Protection		
☐ Partnership☐ Other Contract:	☐ Asbestos/Silica ☐ Other Product Liability	Seizure/Forfeiture	Name Chang		Child Support		
Other Contract:	List Product:	Writ of Habeas Corpus—			Custody or Visitation		
	List i routet.	Pre-indictment	Removal of		Gestational Parenting		
	Other Injury or Damage:	Other:	of Minority		Grandparent Access		
	Messigence		Other:		Parentage/Paternity		
Employment	Other				Other Parent-Child:		
Discrimination	Administrative Appeal	Lawyer Discipline					
Retaliation	Antitrust/Unfair Competition	☐Perpetuate Testimony ☐Securities/Stock					
☐ Termination ☐ Workers' Compensation	Competition Code Violations	Tortious Interference					
Other Employment:	Foreign Judgment	Other:					
	☐Intellectual Property		_				
					-		
Tax	Dunk at a /III th - /I		Mental Health	dult			
☐Tax Appraisal ☐Tax Delinguency	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration Guardianship—Adult Dependent Administration Guardianship—Minor						
Other Tax	☐ Independent Administration	n	Mental Health				
	Other Estate Proceedings	11	Other:				
3. Indicate procedure or remedy	, if applicable (may select more the	ın 1):		Marine San			
Appeal from Municipal or Jus		tory Judgment	Pre	judgment Rei	medy		
Arbitration-related				Protective Order			
☐Attachment ☐Interpleader							
☐Bill of Review ☐License ☐Sequestration							
Certiorari Mandamus Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction				raining Order/Injunction			
Class Action Post-judgment Turnover							
4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case):							
Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief							
Over \$100, 000 but not more							
Over \$200,000 but not more the							
▼Over \$1,000,000							

Glasheen, Valles & Inderman, L.L.I

Caroline Woodburn
District Clerk
12/5/2013 2:53:01 PM
Potter County, Texas
By _____ Deputy

Filed

ATTORNEYS AT LA

LUBBOCK ODESSA EL PASO ALBUQUERQUE

Kevin Glasheen

Board Certified in Personal Injury Trial Law & Civil Trial Law -Texas Board of Legal Specialization. Licensed In Texas, New Mexico and Colorado

Noe G. Valles

Licensed In Texas and New Mexico

Chad Inderman

Licensed In Texas and New Mexico

Jason Medina

Licensed In Texas, New Mexico & Oklahoma

John Cook

Licensed In Texas

Nick Pena

Licensed In Texas and New Mexico

Kenny Morris

Licensed In Texas

Matt Lopez

Licensed In Texas

Brent Ferrel Licensed In New Mexico

December 5, 2013

Via E-File

Ms. Caroline Woodburn Potter County District Clerk P.O. Box 9570 Amarillo, TX 79101-9570

101931-C

Re:

Mark Klink, et al v. GSM Land Holdings, Ltd., et al

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed please find Plaintiffs' Original Petition and Jury Demand and the Civil Cover Sheet. Please issue citations as follows and forward the originals to our office for service:

GSM Land Holdings, Ltd., by and through his registered agent, Garth Merrick, 700 S. Avondale Street, Amarillo, TX 79106.

Agriservices Management, LLC, by and through his registered agent, Garth Merrick, 700 S. Avondale Street, Amarillo, TX 79106.

Garth Merrick, 700 S. Avondale Street, Amarillo, TX 79106.

If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely,

Erika Simpson

Paralegal to Chad Inderman

/es

Enclosures as stated

Filed
Caroline Woodburn
District Clerk
12/5/2013 2:53:01 PM
Potter County, Texas
By Deputy