Electronically FILED by	Superior	Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 09/03/2021 10:47 AM Sherri 21STCV32725	R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by R. Perez, Deputy Clerk			
		Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Ju-	dicial Officer: Audra Mori			
	1 2	CURD, GALINDO & SMITH, L.L.P. ALEXIS GALINDO, SBN 136643 301 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 1700				
	3	Long Beach, CA 90802				
	4	Telephone: (562) 624-1177 Facsimile: (562) 624-1178 agalindo@cgsattys.com www.cgsattys.com				
	5					
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Antwon Land, Michael Land, Marcus Land and Karen Land, Deceas				
	6	through her successor in interest, Antwon Land				
	7					
	8	CHINEDIAN COUNT OF TH	TE CTATE OF CALLEODNIA			
	9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
	10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
	11					
Commence of the Commence of th	-12-	ANTWON LAND, an individual;) Case No.: 218TCV32725			
h, L.L.P. Suite 1700 0802 77 78	13	MICHAEL LAND, an individual; MARCUS LAND, an individual;)			
ith, L.] 1, Suite 90802 177 178	14	KAREN LAND, DECEASED, through her				
indo & Smith, n Boulevard, St Seach, CA 908 (562) 624-1177 (562)624-1178	15	successor in interest, ANTWON LAND.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES			
indo & n Boul Beach, (562) 6 (562)6	16		Negligence Wrongful Death			
urd, Galindo & Smith, L.L.P. E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 170 Long Beach, CA 90802 Ph: (562) 624-1177 Fx: (562)624-1178	17	Plaintiffs, vs.	Violation of Civil Rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983)			
Curd 301 E.						
36	18	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ARMANDO IBARRA and DOES 1 through 20.				
	19))			
	20	Defendants.				
	21					
	22	COME NOW the Plaintiffs, ANTWON	LAND; MICHAEL LAND; MARCUS LAND			
	23	and KAREN LAND, DECEASED, through her successor in interest, ANTWON LAND by their				
	24	Attorneys of record, CURD, GALINDO & SMITH, LLP, complain and allege the following				
	25	multiple causes of action and allegations against the Defendants:				
	26					
	27	COMMON ALLEGATIONS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION				
	28	1. KAREN LAND, (hereinafter "Decedent"), at all times relevant to this action and				
			1			
		Complaint	t for Damages			

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 2. At all times herein, Decedent was an adult residing within the County of Los Angeles. Decedent died on December 11, 2020. Decedent died with three surviving adult children, named herein as Plaintiffs.
- 3. Plaintiffs, ANTWON LAND; MICHAEL LAND; and MARCUS LAND as the surviving sons of Decedent and at all times herein mentioned were, heirs-at-law entitled to bring an action for the Wrongful Death of their mother, under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.60. Plaintiffs herein bring these claims pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sections 377.20 et seq. and 377.60 et seq., which provide for survival and wrongful death actions. These claims are also brought individually and on behalf of Karen Land. Deceased, on the basis of the 42 USC §§ 1983 and 1988, the United States Constitution, and federal and state civil rights law
- 4. That the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, governmental or otherwise of Defendants, Does 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, who therefore sue said Defendants by said fictitious names, and when the true names and capacities of said Defendants are ascertained, leave of Court will be sought to amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of said Defendants.
- 5. The Plaintiffs are over the age of 18 years old and reside in the State of California. The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that each of the Defendants, designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner or means for the events and happenings to the Plaintiff, as herein alleged, either through their conduct or through the conduct of their agents, servants, or employees, or due to their ownership, manufacture. maintenance, repair or sale of the instrumentalities causing injuries and damages, or in some manner or means presently unknown to Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 6. That at all times herein alleged, Defendants, and each of them, were residents, or were doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 7. Defendants, DOES 6 through 10 (hereinafter "DOES 6 through 10") is/are, and at all times relevant to this action and herein mentioned was, a natural person, DOE 6-10 is, and at all times relevant to this action and herein mentioned was, a medical doctor and/or nurse licensed to practice such profession in the State of California. DOE 6-10 is, and at all times relative to this complaint and herein mentioned was an employee of DOE 11 a licensed medical facility.
- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on the basis of that information and belief allege, that at all times mentioned in this complaint and herein mentioned Defendant DOES 6 -10 were the agents and employees of Defendant DOE 11, and in doing the things alleged in this complaint, was/were acting within the course and scope of that agency and employment.
- 9. Decedent age 60, was admitted to DOE 11 upon intake Plaintiff was identified as a patient with drug withdrawal difficulty. Plaintiff required 2 staff members to assist her in ambulation, including ambulating to and from the bathroom, among many other notations in her records regarding her inability to ambulate without assistance.
- 10. On or about November 23, 2020, Decedent who required the assistants of a wheelchair, contracted with DOE 11 and DOES 6 THROUGH 10 for medical treatment and care. On or about December 8, 2020 DOE 11, and DOES 6 through 10 caused Decedent to leave the care and custody of DOE 11. Defendants DOE 6 through 11, negligently and carelessly allowed Decedent to leave the facility knowing that Decedent did not have the ability to ambulate on her own or care for herself. Defendants DOES 6 through 11 failed to notify authorities and Decedent's family that Decedent had left the facility, DOE 11. The negligent and careless treatment of Decedent by DOES 6 through 11 caused the Decedent's subsequent injury on December 11, 2020.
- 11. Defendants DOES 6 through 11, at all relevant times, were considered "care custodians" of Decedent as defined in § 15610.170 of the Welfare & Institutions Code.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 12. That at all times herein alleged, Defendant, DOE 1 was acting within the course and scope of his employment with defendant COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and its agency LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.
- 13. That at all times herein alleged, Defendants, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and Does 1 through 5, and each of them, were the operators, owners, permittee, lessee or bailee of a certain patrol vehicle which at the time and place of the collision herein sued upon, was negligently being driven, maintained, operated and controlled by LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT employee, DOE 1.
- 14. The Plaintiffs allege and incorporate the Government Codes which sets forth the statutory authority to seek damages against a governmental entity such as the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
 - 15. Government Code, section 815.2 provides:
- (a) A public entity is liable for injury proximately caused by an act or omission of an employee of the public entity within the scope of his employment if the act or omission would. apart from this section, have given rise to a cause of action against that employee or his personal representative.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided by statute, a public entity is not liable for an injury resulting from an act or omission of an employee of the public entity where the employee is immune from liability.
- 16. On December 11, 2020, the Decedent, KAREN LAND, was attempting to cross Imperial Highway in her wheelchair within a marked cross-walk on Imperial Highway and Slater Street, in the City of Los Angeles. Decedent collapsed and fell into Imperial Highway in or near the marked cross-walk which is marked with street signage. Several motorists observed Decedent and swerved or stopped to avoid striking her. However, Decedent was struck and killed by a Los Angeles County Sheriff patrol vehicle driven by defendant ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 both Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputies, while in the course and scope of their employment with the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. The Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputy ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 failed to swerve, stop and avoid for the Decedent who

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

was in distress while attempting to cross Imperial Highway in a marked cross walk.

- 17. Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputy ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 were negligent in failing to yield to the Decedent, a violation of Vehicle Code § 21950.
- That at said time and place, Defendants, ARMANDO IBARRA, COUNTY OF 18. LOS ANGELES and DOES 1 through 5, inclusive, and each of them, so negligently, carelessly and unlawfully drove, operated, controlled, supervised, manufactured, assembled, maintained, owned, inspected, repaired, leased, rented, trained, supervise and entrusted their said vehicle so as to cause the same and the same did cause a collision with Decedent, KAREN LAND and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs were injured and damaged as hereinafter more fully set forth.
- A motor vehicle operator in California is required by California Civil Code § 1714(a) to use ordinary care or skill in the management of his person and operation of his vehicle.
- 20. In the course and scope of his employment and/or agency with the LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF DEPUTY ARMANDO IBARRA, and DOE 1, breached Civil Code § 1714(a) duty to use ordinary care and skill in the management of his person and operation of his motor vehicle.
- 21. Pursuant to Vehicle Code § 17001, the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES is liable for injury to a person or property proximately caused by any negligent or wrongful act or omission in the operation of a motor vehicle by Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputy DOE 1, while acting within the scope of his employment.
- 22. Pursuant to Vehicle Code § 21056, Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputy ARMANDO IBARRA, and DOE 1, breached his duty to drive his patrol vehicle with due regard for the safety of all persons using the roadway, and to refrain from the arbitrary exercise of privileges granted in Vehicle Code § 21055, and DOE 1 breached that duty when he struck Plaintiffs' mother KAREN LAND.

	23.	Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputy ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1, as agent
and em	ployees	of defendant County of Los Angeles, violated California law, including but not
limited	to, Vel	nicle Code § 21056, which, among other things, prohibited him from arbitrarily
violatin	ıg Vehi	cle Code §§ 21950.

- 24. Los Angeles County Sheriff Deputy ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1, as agent and employees of defendant County of Los Angeles's violation of Vehicle Code § 21056 and 21950 among others, during the incident was a substantial factor in causing injury to plaintiffs, all to their damage in excess of the jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 25. On or about February 10, 2021, Plaintiffs presented to the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, by mailing a claim form that substantially complied with Government Code, Sections 910 and 910.2. Said claim form was received by the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, and put the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES on notice that the plaintiffs would be seeking from the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES the damages suffered and incurred by them by reason of the above described occurrence, all in compliance with the requirements of section 905 of the Government Code.
- 26. At no time after receiving the claim form did the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES formally request clarification of the claim form, from the claimants, nor did the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES notify the claimants of any defects or omissions in the claim form pursuant to Government Code, Section 910.8, 911 and 911.3 and Phillips v. Desert Hospital District, (4th Dist 1989) 49 Cal. 3d 699.
 - 27. The COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES denied the claim on March 9, 2021.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(FOR NEGLIGENCE BY ALL PLAINTIFFS)

(AGAINST DOES 6 through 11 DEFENDANTS)

- 28. Plaintiffs fully incorporate by reference, as though fully set forth herein, paragraphs 1 through 8 above of this Complaint.
- 29. Defendants DOES 6 through 11 owed a duty of care to Decedent to provide medical services in accordance with the standards and practices of HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS, physicians and nurses in the local community.
- 30. Defendants DOES 6 through 11, breached that duty of care to Decedent by not adhering to the standards and practices of healthcare providers in the local community.
- 31. As a legal and proximate cause of the Defendants, and each of their breach of care, Decedent died.
- 32. Defendants DOES 6 through 11 had a legal duty of care to provide adequate and sufficient professional Medical services to Decedent, pursuant to the Medical services' contracts executed by Decedent and the Defendants, DOES 6 through 11 and pursuant to the acceptable standards and practices of healthcare providers in the local community.
- 33. Defendants breached their legal duty to provide professional Medical services to Decedent negligently and carelessly when they caused her to leave the facility DOE 11.
- 34. As a direct, legal and proximate result of the Defendants' (DOES 6 through 11) negligent conduct, Decedent died causing damages to Plaintiffs named herein, in amounts to be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(FOR NEGLIGENCE BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ARMANDO IBARRA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND DOES 1 THROUGH 5)

- 35. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as though fully set forth paragraphs 1 through 12 of this complaint.
- 36. The Plaintiffs allege that Vehicle Code § 17001 and Government code 815.2 provide for the appropriate statutes whereby the defendant COUNTY of LOS ANGELES can be held liable for injury to plaintiffs.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of said tortious acts, omissions and/or conduct of Defendants, ARMANDO IBARRA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and DOES 1 through 5, and each of them, Plaintiffs have sustained and incurred, and is certain in the future to sustain and incur losses, injuries, and damages which are itemized as follows:
- (a) Funeral and burial expenses in a sum to be determined according to proof. Plaintiffs will request leave of court to determine the total amount thereof, once the same has been ascertained and medical expenses; and
- (b) The value of Decedent's financial support and household services to be determined according to proof; and
- (c) Plaintiffs have been deprived of the love, aid, comfort, society, affection, care, protection, guidance, service, solace and companionship of Decedent, stemming from their loving and devoted relationship, all to Plaintiffs' loss and general damage in a sum in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements herein according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR WRONGFUL DEATH BASED ON NEGLIGENCE BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS INCLUDING DOES 1-20.

circumstances.

27

28

1	38. P	Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every			
2	allegation contained above and below as though fully set forth again at this point.				
3	39. A	As a result of the negligence by all Defendants, Plaintiffs' mother died.			
4		As a direct and proximate result of said tortious acts, omissions and/or conduct of			
5					
6	Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs have sustained and incurred, and is certain in the future				
7	to sustain and medi losses, injuries, and damages which are itemized as lonows:				
8 9	(a) Funeral and	burial expenses in a sum to be determined according to proof. Plaintiffs will			
10	request leave of court to determine the total amount thereof, once the same has been ascertained				
11	and medical expenses; and				
12	(b) The value of Decedent's financial support and household services to be determined				
13	according to proof; and				
14	(c) Plaintiffs have been deprived of the love, aid, comfort, society, affection, care, protection,				
15	guidance, service, solace and companionship of Decedent, stemming from their loving and				
16					
17	devoted relation	ship, all to Plaintiffs' loss and general damage in a sum in excess of the			
18	minimum jurisd	ictional requirements herein according to proof.			
19		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
20		Unreasonable Seizure and Due Process			
21		Officesoffable Setzure and Due Process			
22	(AL	L PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS ARMANDO IBARRA,			
23		and DOES 1 THROUGH 5)			
24	41. P	Plaintiffs reallege each and every paragraph in this complaint as if fully set forth			
25	here. Specifical	ly, the use of deadly force was excessive and unreasonable under the			
26					

- 42. Defendants ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1's unjustified use of deadly force, striking, Decedent with their vehicle deprived DECEDENT of her right to be secure in her person against unreasonable seizures as guaranteed to DECEDENT under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and applied to state actors by the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 43. As a result, DECEDENT suffered extreme pain and suffering and eventually suffered a loss of life. Plaintiffs have also been deprived of the life-long love, companionship, comfort, support, society, care, and sustenance of DECEDENT, and will continue to be so deprived for the remainder of the their natural lives.
- 44. As a result of their conduct, Defendants ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 are liable for DECEDENT's injuries, either because they were integral participants in the excessive force, or because they failed to intervene to prevent these violations.
- 45. Defendants ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 knew that failure to stop and yield for Decedent could result in significant injury or the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain, causing her great bodily harm and death.
- 46. The conduct of Defendants ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with reckless disregard for the rights and safety of DECEDENT and therefore warrants the imposition of exemplary and punitive damages as to Defendants ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1.
- 47. Plaintiff ANTWON LAND brings this claim individually and as successor-in-interest for the DECEDENT, and seeks both survival and wrongful death damages under Federal law for the violation of DECEDENT's rights. Plaintiffs also seek attorney fees under this claim.

FIFTH CUASE OF ACTION

SURVIVAL CLAIM/WRONGFUL DEATH

DENIAL OF SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIP

(42 U.S.C. § 1983)

BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST ARMANDO IBARRA AND DOE 1.

- 48. Plaintiffs reallege each and every paragraph in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. All of the acts of Defendants, ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE 1 and the persons involved were done under color of state law.
- 50. The acts and omissions of each Defendants ARMANDO IBARRA and DOE I deprived ANTWON LAND, MICHAEL LAND and MARCUS LAND of rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States, including but not limited to the Fourteenth Amendment by, among other things, depriving Plaintiffs of their right to a familial relationship with their mother KAREN LAND without due process of law by their striking and killing her.
- As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing wrongful acts, Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs sustained general damages, including grief, emotional distress and pain and suffering, loss of comfort and society, in an amount in accordance with proof.
- 52. In doing the foregoing wrongful acts and omissions, Defendants, and each of them, acted in reckless and callous disregard for the constitutional rights of Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. For general damages shown according to proof;