1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	San Diego, CA 92130 Tel: 858-259-3011 Fax: 858-259-3015 Email: Irwin@zalkin.com	FEB 5'13PM 308 I L E D rk of the Superior Court FEB - 5 2013 : C. BANKS, Deputy
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF SAN DIEGO
11	Jose Lopez, Individually,) Case No: 37-2012-0099849-CU-PO-
12	Jose Lopez, marvidually,) CTL
13	Plaintiff,	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
14	v.) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO) ALLEGE PUNITIVE DAMAGES
15	Defendant Doe 1, Diocese; Defendant Doe)
16	2, Order; and Does 3 through 100, inclusive,) Date: 3-1-13) Time: 8:30 a.m.
17 18	Defendants.	Dept: 65 Judge: Joan M. Lewis
19))
20		IMAGED FILE
21		
22		
23	ut.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	III)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	I. INTRODUCTION
3	,
4	II. FACTUAL HISTORY4
5	A. The 1982 Complaint5
6	B. The Sexual Abuse Of Plaintiff6
7	C. The 1986 Complaint6
8	D. The Dorman Complaint ⁷
9	E. Campos' Reinstatement9
10	III. PLAINTIFF MUST BE ALLOWED TO ALLEGE A CLAIM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES AGAINST WATCHTOWER9
12	IV.WATCHTOWER CAN BE LIABLE FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES BASED ON THE
13	WRONGFUL ACTS OF CAMPOS10
14	A. Watchtower willfully retained Campos despite knowledge that he had acted, and would continue to act, in conscious disregard of the safety of others, and was guilty of fraud, oppression and malice!
15	Watchtower was Aware of Campos' Molestation of Children Prior to the Abuse of Plaintiff
16	B. Watchtower Ratified Campos' Sexual Abuse of Children
17	C. Watchtower was Guilty of Fraud, Oppression and Malice
18	C. Watchtower was Guilty of Fraud, Oppression and Mance
19	V. CONCLUSION
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	:

TARIE OF AUTHORITIES

	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	California Cases
3	Angie M. v. Superior Court
4	(1995) 37 Cal.App.4th 1217
5	Baptist v. Robinson (2006) 143 Cal.App.4th 151
6	Blagan v. Superior Court
7	(1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 95914
8	Coats v. Construction & General Laborers Local No. 185 (1971) 15 Cal.App.3d 90811
9	McChristian v. Popkin (1946) 75 Cal.App.2d 24913
10	
11	Murillo v. Rite Stuff Foods (1998) 65 Cal.App.4th 833
12	Oakes v. McCarthy (1968) 267 Cal.App.2d 23110
13	
14	Rowe v. Superior Court (1993) 15 Cal.App.4th 1711
15	Santillan v. Roman Catholic Bishop of Fresno (2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 412
16	
17	Taylor v. Superior Court (1979)24 Cal.3d 89011,14
18	Weeks v. Baker & McKenzie (1998) 63 Cal.App.4th 11281
19	(1998) 63 Cal.App.4th 1128
20	<u>Statutes</u>
21	Civil Code § 3294(a)
22	Civil Code § 3294(c)(1)
	Cal. Pen. Code §§ 266j, 285(b)(1), 285(b)(2), 285(c), 286, 288(a), 288(b), 288a(b)(1), 288a(b)(2), 288a(c), 289(h), 289(j), and 647.6
23	
24	Miscellaneous BAJI 14.72.11
25	3 Witkin, Summary 10th (2005) Agency, § 164, p. 207
26	
27	
28	
	ii MEMORNADUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
	WEMOKNADUM OF FUM 13 AND AUTHORITIES IN SUFFORT OF LEATHTIFF SHOTION TO

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Plaintiff Jose Lopez seeks leave to file a First Amended Complaint including a claim for punitive damages against Defendant Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc. (Watchtower), pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 425.14.1 Plaintiff was molested by Gonzalo Campos (Campos) when he was a minor associated with Defendant Linda Vista Spanish Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses (Linda Vista) and Defendant Watchtower.²

FACTUAL HISTORY II.

The Jehovah's Witness Faith is organized in a hierarchical structure. During the relevant periods of time, the Watchtower sat atop the hierarchy with respect to issues of appointment of leaders (called Elders and Ministerial Servants) in local congregations, and provided local congregation leaders with direction when difficult issues arose, including issues relating to sexual abuse of children by Jehovah's Witnesses. Local congregations of Jehovah's Witnesses administer the faith on a day to day basis and implement church policy and practice that is dictated from higher levels in the organizational structure.

Field Service is an important part of the Jehovah's Witness faith. Field Service involves members of the congregation going from door to door and preaching to people living in the community. Not everyone is permitted the privilege of participating in Field Service. Instead, that privilege is reserved for people who are "Publishers." (Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice (RJN), at p. 10, Plaintiff's Exhibit (PE) 2, Deposition of Dennis Palmer at p. 14:3-7; RJN at p. 25, PE 3, Deposition of Gonzalo Campos at p.18:1-11 ["a publisher is a person who

All further undesignated section references are to the Code of Civil Procedure.

This motion seeks to include a claim for punitive damages against Defendant Watchtower only. Since Defendant Linda Vista is not a religious corporation or a religious corporation sole, there is no prohibition against seeking punitive damages against that Defendant without first receiving a court order.

preaches home-to-home the good news, and he is authorized to preach this good news door-to-door" by the "elders of the congregation"])(underline emphasis added.)³

If someone wants to participate in Field Service, he or she must request to be approved as a Publisher. (RJN at pp. 59-60, PE 4, Deposition of Ramon Preciado at pp. 12:16-13:3.) A committee of Elders will consider that request. (RJN at p. 60, PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 13:4-9.) The committee will interview the candidate and determine if he or she has sufficient knowledge of the bible to participate in Field Service, and whether he or she is living in accordance with Christian Bible principles. (RJN at p. 60, PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 13:10-15; RJN at p. 10, PE 2, Palmer Depo at 14:8-25.) Since Publishers are given the privilege of representing the congregation in the community, they must not be engaged in immorality. (RJN at pp. 60, PE 4, Preciado Depo at pp. 13:10-14:10; RJN at p. 10, PE 2, Palmer Depo at p. 14:3-25.) Campos went through the process of becoming approved as a Publisher, and was authorized by Linda Vista to perform Field Service and represent the congregation in the community. (RJN at p. 62, PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 17:1-3.)

Publishers can be Baptized or Un-Baptized, with greater rights and responsibilities being reserved for Baptized Publishers. (RJN at pp. 35-36, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 85:8-86:8.) Once a male Publisher has been Baptized, he can lead field service; give Bible Study, and receive privileges in the congregations "such as becoming a ministerial servant or elder." (RJN at PP. 35-37, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 85:8-20, 86:7-87:13.) A Baptized Publisher can also work as a missionary or serve as a Pioneer. (RJN at pp. 35-36, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 85:14-20, 86:4-8.) Baptism as one of Jehovah's Witnesses is an ordination as a minister of the Jehovah's Witness faith. (RJN at p. 34, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 83:11-18.)

³ Each citation to the record will appear first with a citation to the location of the material by page in Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice, then will have a citation to which Exhibit the item is in the Notice of Lodgment, and finally an exact description of what item is being cited.

To be baptized as one of Jchovah's Witnesses a prospective member must study the bible. Campos for instance, studied for at least six, and maybe as many as twelve, months before he was able to become baptized. (RJN at pp. 33-34, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 82:14-83:6.) Campos studied with a Baptized Publisher once per week during this time prior to being baptized, and also studied on his own. (RJN at p. 34, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 83:1-10.) Prior to being baptized, Campos was interviewed by one or two Elders, and was asked a series of approximately eighty questions based on his study that he had to answer thoughtfully prior to being ordained. (RJN at pp. 32-33, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 81:17-82:14.)

When a Publisher gives Bible Study, which is a one on one session during which a Baptized Publisher helps another to understand the Bible better, he is required to complete a form and turn that form into the Congregation Secretary. (RJN at p. 38, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 90:8-15.) The form indicates the name and address of the person to whom the Publisher has given Bible Study as well as the dates of each session. (RJN at p. 39, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 91:17-20.) Publishers are also required to file a Service Report with the Congregation Secretary detailing the amount of time they spend in Field Service. (RJN at pp. 37-38, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 89:13-90:7.) Local Congregation leaders control what particular areas within their territory will be targeted for Field Service on a particular day.

A Pioneer is a Baptized Publisher who has committed to spend a certain amount of time per month preaching. (RJN at p. 12, PE 2, Palmer Depo at p. 16:4-8.) To become a Pioneer, a Publisher must be approved by a committee of Elders. (PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 15:12-21.) In addition to maintaining the requirements of good morals to be a Baptized Publisher, the Elders must also determine that the applicant's track record shows that he will be able to meet his hourly obligations if he is approved. (RJN at pp. 12-13, PE 2, Palmer Depo at pp. 16:17-17:14.)

A Ministerial Servant is a male Baptized Publisher who holds added responsibilities within the congregation. (RJN at pp. 14-16, PE 2, Palmer Depo at pp. 18:5-20:23.) Prospective Ministerial Servants are recommended by the Body of Elders. (RJN at pp. 63-64, PE 4, Preciado Depo at pp. 18:23-19:13, 21:4-19.) Watchtower then has the final say as to whether the appointment is confirmed. (RJN at p. 16, PE 2, Palmer Depo at p. 20:16-23.)

An Elder is an overseer of a congregation. (RJN at p. 17, PE 2, Palmer Depo at p. 21:6-15.) Elders coordinate the congregation's activities, including meetings and field service. (RJN at p. 17, PE 2, Palmer Depo at p. 21:6-15.) Elders also help members deal with problems arising in their personal lives. (RJN at p. 17, PE 2, Palmer Depo at p. 21:6-15.) Prospective Elders are selected from among the congregation's Ministerial Servants after being thoroughly vetted by the Elders. (RJN at pp. 17-18, PE 2, Palmer Depo at pp. 21:17- 22:12.) The Body of Elders recommend deserving Ministerial Servants as prospective Elders to Watchtower. (RJN at p. 65, PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 24:8-16.) Watchtower approves or rejects the appointment. (RJN at p. 65, PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 24:8-16.)

Campos was ordained as a minister of the Jehovah's Witness Faith when he was baptized in 1980. (RJN at pp. 23-24, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 15:25-16:3.) Prior to the molestation of Plaintiff, Campos had been thoroughly trained and tested by Linda Vista, and his morals and character had been vetted and approved by the Body of Elders. As a result of this process, Campos was authorized to represent Linda Vista and the Watchtower in door to door preaching, enter the homes of members of the congregation and the larger community to teach bible study sessions and otherwise preach the beliefs of the Jehovah's Witness faith. Following his ordination, Campos' preaching activities were monitored and controlled by the congregation. Campos was appointed as a Ministerial Servant on December 22, 1988. (RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.) Campos was appointed as an Elder in June of 1993.

(RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.) Campos served as a Congregation Secretary. (RJN at pp. 41-42, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 104:18 - 105:19.) Campos also served as a Pioneer. (RJN at p. 40, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 92:13-18.)

No matter how Watchtower attempts to paint the picture, at all times relevant Campos had a status far greater than any Un-Baptized Publisher, or any female Baptized-Publisher, including his minor victims. Equally, if not more important, Campos was an ordained minister in the Jehovah's Witness religion, and his minor victims were not.

A. The 1982 Complaint

In approximately 1982, Campos molested a young member of Linda Vista, John Doe. (RJN at pp. 100-101, PE 8, Depo of John Doe at pp. 15:10-16:18; RJN at pp. 49-51, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 133:5-135:2.) John Doe immediately informed his mother of the abuse. (RJN at pp. 95-98, PE 8, Doe Depo at pp. 10:10-18, 13:17-21.) Campos confessed his inappropriate sexual conduct to Doe's mother. (RJN at pp. 26-27, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 24:24-25:4.) Doe's mother reported the abuse to Elders from Linda Vista. (RJN at p. 109, PE 9, Deposition of Jesus Montijo at p. 36:2-19; RJN at pp. 117-118, PE 10, Deposition of Justino Diaz at pp. 28:16-29:10.) The allegation was brought to the Body of Elders of Linda Vista. (RJN at p. 110, PE 9, Montijo Depo at pp. 37:3-15.) Two Elders investigated the accusation. (RJN at pp. 110-111, PE 9, Montijo Depo at pp. 37:9-38:22) Within one or two days of the molestation, Doe was interviewed by an Elder and detailed the abuse by Campos. (RJN at pp. 95-96, PE 8, Doe Depo at pp. 10:24-11:2; 12:17-22.) Campos was also interviewed by multiple Elders from Linda Vista and admitted that he "had touched [John Doe] inappropriately." (RJN at pp. 26-27, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 24:5-25:20.)

The Elders did not punish Campos. (RJN at p. 114, PE 9, Montijo Depo at p. 42:7-42:25.) Justino Diaz, a Linda Vista Elder, considered it to be a minor matter since Campos'

mother and the victim's mother were apparently able to work out some resolution. (RJN at pp. 119, 120, PE 10, Diaz Depo at pp. 34:9-21, 35:4-7.) Diaz knew that molestation was a crime and that a molester may reoffend. (RJN at p. 135, PE 10, Diaz Depo at p. 35:8-25.) Nonetheless, the police were not called, Campos' privileges were not restricted, further victims were not sought, and the congregation was not warned about Campos. (RJN at p. 114, PE 9, Montijo Depo at 42:2-19.) Campos continued to be allowed to preach door to door, and to give bible study sessions to children. (RJN at pp. 52-53, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 137:9-138:7.)

B. The Sexual Abuse of Plaintiff

Plaintiff was sexually abused by Campos in approximately 1986. (RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 18, July 4, 1999 letter; PE 24, Campos Depo at pp. 150:16-152:8.) The abuse included masturbation of Plaintiff, digital penetration of Plaintiff, Campos' exposure of his penis to Plaintiff, and potentially sodomy of Plaintiff. (PE 25, Plaintiff's Response to Special Interrogatory Number 1 Propounded by Defendant Watchtower.) Campos has admitted to the abuse by innuendo. (PE 24, Campos Depo at pp. 150:16-152:8.) Campos gave Bible Study sessions to Plaintiff. (PE 24, Campos Depo at pp. 151:20-152:3.) The Linda Vista Elders, and through them Defendant Watchtower, were aware, or should have been aware, that Campos taught Bible Study to Plaintiff. (RJN at p. 38, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 90:8-25.)

C. The 1986 Complaint

In 1986, just after the abuse of Plaintiff, a Judicial Committee was formed by Linda Vista to investigate Campos' sexual abuse of a child, presumably Plaintiff, determine Campos' guilt and level of repentance, and to impose punishment. (RJN at p. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter; RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 7, June 9, 1995 letter; RJN at pp. 122-125, PE 11, November 13, 1996 letter; RJN at pp. 127-133, PE 12, July 24, 1999 letter.)

When Elders learn of a grave sin by a congregation member, two Elders investigate. (PE 9, Montijo Depo at p. 47:6-22.) Those Elders determine if there is justification for a Judicial Committee. (RJN at p. 105, PE 9, Montijo Depo at p. 28:12-21.) If there are multiple witnesses or the accused confesses wrongdoing, a Judicial Committee is formed. (RJN at p. 106, PE 9, Montijo Depo at p. 29:7-21.) The Committee is comprised of the original Elders that investigated, and usually at least one more Elder. (RJN at p. 66, PE 4, Preciado Depo at p. 32:8-15.) The Committee will then determine what punishment is appropriate. (RJN at pp. 67-72, PE 4, Preciado Depo at pp. 37:3-42:19.) The sinner can be disfellowshipped, which is a period of expulsion from the local congregation, or if the Committee determines the sinner is truly repentant, he or she can be reproved, which entails some public or private censorship but no expulsion from the congregation. (RJN at pp. 107-108, PE 9, Montijo Depo at pp. 30:22-31:18.) In order for a person to be reproved, he must first have admitted his sin.

The Judicial Committee formed in 1986 to look into the Campos' alleged sexual

The Judicial Committee formed in 1986 to look into the Campos' alleged sexual misconduct determined that Campos was repentant for his sins and reproved him, rather than expel him from the congregation. (RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.) The Committee imposed a nine month period of private censorship on Campos, during which time his service privileges were somewhat restricted. (RJN at p. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.)

D. The Dorman Complaint in April of 1994

In April of 1994, John and Manuela Dorman learned that their son John had been sexually molested by Campos. (RJN at p. 138, PE 14, April 11, 1994 letter.) Upon learning that her son had been molested, Mrs. Dorman called Campos and confronted him. (RJN at p. 138, PE 14, April 11, 1994 letter.) Campos was an elder of the La Jolla Congregation at the time of the confrontation. The Dormans sent a letter to Mrs. Dorman's local congregation, accusing Campos of sexually abusing their son. (RJN at p. 138, PE 14, April 11, 1994 letter.)

The letter of complaint was forwarded to Watchtower. (RJN at p. 140, PE 15, April 13, 1994 letter.) Watchtower held onto the letter for almost two months, then forwarded it to La Jolla. (RJN at p. 142, PE 16, June 9, 1994 letter.)

Upon receiving the letter, Campos was asked by an Elder of La Jolla whether the accusations were true, and Campos confirmed the allegations. (RJN at pp. 29-30, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 51:21-52:11.) Notwithstanding Campos' confession, almost one full year elapsed between Manuela Dorman's phone call to Campos and concurrent letter to her local congregation and any response from La Jolla to Watchtower. More than eight months passed between Watchtower's letter to La Jolla and the Elders' reply. During that period of time, Campos continued to molest. (RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 7, June 9, 1995, letter.) Watchtower did not send any additional letters seeking confirmation of Campos' abuse of children.

Even after receiving a written complaint about the molestation of Dorman, Campos continued to function in a leadership capacity in the congregation as an Elder, Secretary of the Congregation and as a Pioneer. (RJN at pp. 144, PE 17, April 4, 1995 letter; RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter; RJN at pp. 41-47, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 104:18-110:19.) Even though Watchtower had received a written complaint against Campos, and Campos had confessed to molesting John Dorman, as well as to the 1982 and 1986 incidents to Watchtower's local agent in La Jolla, Campos was not immediately suspended and continued to serve in high level positions within the Congregation for more than nine months.

The position ultimately taken by Watchtower's local agents in La Jolla when it responded to the Dorman allegations in March of 1995 was to confirm the abuse, note that Campos had been reproved years ago, and closed the matter. (RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.) Ultimately, Campos was disfellowshipped in June of 1995 for sexually abusing Joel Gamboa; not for sexually abusing John Dorman, the 1982 complainant or the 1986

complainant. (RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 7, June 9, 1995 letter; RJN at p. 48, PE 3, Campos Depo at p. 124:3-13.) This subsequent abuse was discovered when Gamboa's mother spoke to Elders of the congregation in May of 1995. (RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 7, June 9, 1995 letter.) Until the Gamboa complaint was received, Campos was not the subject of a Judicial Committee relating to the Dorman accusation, and would not have been disfellowshipped.

E. Campos' Reinstatement

After he was disfellowhipped in 1995, Campos repeatedly sought reinstatement as one of Jehovah's Witnesses. (RJN at pp. 146-150, PE 18, July 4, 1999 Letter.) In 1996, he confessed to the Elders that he had molested three additional children while serving as a Ministerial Servant at La Jolla. (RJN at pp. 122-125, PE 11, November 13, 1996 letter.) The Elders discussed the nature of the sexual acts committed by Campos in the correspondence with Watchtower, including acts of mutual masturbation, oral copulation and sodomy. (RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 7, June 9, 1995 letter.) Notwithstanding the horrible acts known to have been committed by Campos, Watchtower's local agents quibbled about whether Campos' acts constituted abuse, or whether he could be considered "a person who is known as someone who has sexually abused a child." (RJN at pp. 127-133, PE 12, July 24, 1999 letter ["Did we understand correctly the explanation in The Watchtower? Is touching of the genitals considered sexual abuse?"]; RJN at pp. 152-155, PE 19, June 5, 2000 letter ["After having carefully analyzed and with prayer all factors of the case of Brother Campos, our opinion is that" he should not be given a responsible position in the congregation].) Campos was reinstated as one of Jehovah's Witnesses on April 21, 2000. (RJN at pp. 157, 159, PE 20, June 9, 1995 letter.)

III. PLAINTIFF MUST BE ALLOWED TO ALLEGE A CLAIM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES AGAINST WATCHTOWER

Section 425.14 provides that no claim for punitive or exemplary damages may be pled against "a religious corporation or religious corporation sole" unless permitted by court order

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND TO ALLEGE PUNITIVE DAMAGES

after the plaintiff brings a motion to amend the complaint. Section 425.14 requires only that a plaintiff demonstrate the existence of sufficient evidence to establish a prima facie case for punitive damages, enough to sustain a favorable decision if the evidence submitted be credited under the clear and convincing standard. *Rowe v. Superior Court* (1993) 15 Cal.App.4th 1711, 1722. In considering the evidence, the trial court "is not required to make any factual determination or to become involved in any weighing process beyond that necessarily involved in determining whether a prima facie case for punitive damages exists." *Id.* Once the court concludes that such a case can be presented at trial, it must permit the proposed amended pleading to be filed. *Id.* In making this judgment, the court's consideration of the defendant's opposing affidavits does not permit a weighing of them against the plaintiff's supporting evidence, but only determination that they do not, as a matter of law, defeat that evidence. *Id.*

This standard requires "only that the plaintiff demonstrate to the court's satisfaction that sufficient evidence exists to go to the jury on the issue of punitive damages. That is, plaintiff has sufficient evidence, if credited, to meet the clear and convincing standard and the judge is unable to say that a reasonable jury could not find for plaintiff under that standard of proof." Rowe, 15 Cal.App.4th at 1722. If this Court cannot categorically find that no reasonable jury could find for Plaintiff, judging the evidence under the clear and convincing standard, Plaintiff must be permitted to allege a claim for punitive damages.

IV. WATCHTOWER CAN BE LIABLE FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES BASED ON THE WRONGFUL ACTS OF CAMPOS

A plaintiff may recover punitive damages against a defendant who has been guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice. Civil Code § 3294(a). The words oppression, fraud, and malice as used in Civil Code section 3294(a) are disjunctive, and proof of any of them will support an award of punitive damages. Oakes v. McCarthy Co. (1968) 267 Cal.App.2d 231, 262-63.

According to Weeks v. Baker & McKenzie:

Subdivision (b) [of Civil Code § 3294] authorizes the imposition of punitive damages on an employer in three situations: (1) when an employee was guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, and the employer with advance knowledge of the unfitness of the employee employed him or her with a conscious disregard of the rights and safety of others, (2) when an employee was guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, and the employer authorized or ratified the wrongful conduct, or (3) when the employer was itself guilty of the oppression, fraud or malice. (1998) 63 Cal.App.4th 1128, 1151.

Thus, "[I]f the employer after knowledge or opportunity to learn of the agent's misconduct retains the wrongdoer in service, the employer may make himself liable in punitive damages." Coats v. Construction & General Laborers Local No. 185 (1971) 15 Cal.App.3d 908, 914. Watchtower is subject to an award of punitive damages under each of the three justifications described in Weeks.

A. Watchtower willfully retained Campos despite knowledge that he had acted, and would continue to act, in conscious disregard of the safety of others, and was guilty of fraud, oppression and malice

If an agent has acted in a manner that would subject himself to punitive damages, and the principal was aware of the agent's punishable conduct and continued to utilize him without regard to the safety of others, punitive damages may be imposed on the principal. *Weeks*, 63 Cal.App.4th at 1151.

"Malice" means "despicable conduct which is carried on by the defendant with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others." Civil Code § 3294(c)(1). Malice has been described as requiring "an act conceived in a spirit of mischief or with criminal indifference toward the obligations owed to others." *Taylor*, 24 Cal.3d at 894. "Where the [perpetrator's] wrongdoing has been intentional and deliberate, and has the character of outrage frequently associated with crime, all but a few courts have permitted the jury to award in the tort action punitive or exemplary damages." *Id.* (internal quotations omitted.)

Campos has admitted to molesting numerous children during his tenure as an ordained minister of the Jehovah's Witness religion. (RJN at pp. 122-125; PE 11, November 13, 1996

letter; RJN at pp. 162-163, PE 21, August 18 1995 letter.) Campos sexually abused children from both La Jolla and Linda Vista. (RJN at pp. 127-133, PE 12, July 24, 1999 letter.) Campos abused minor boys and minor girls, often beginning the molestations when his victims were between the extremely young ages of 6 and 8. (RJN at pp. 127-133, PE 12, July 24, 1999 letter.) The abuse included acts of mutual masturbation, sodomy and oral copulation. (RJN at pp. 87-91, PE 7, June 9, 1995 letter.) Campos has admitted to molesting Plaintiff. (PE 24, Campos Deposition at pp. 150:16-152:8.)

These admitted acts of molestation clearly establish a prima facie entitlement to punitive damages against Campos. Repeated acts of sexually molesting children is "despicable conduct", which "would be looked down on and despised by ordinary decent people." BAJI 14.72.1. The despicability of the conduct is shown by the large number of criminal statutes protecting children from sexual predation. *See* Cal. Pen. Code §§ 266j, 285(b)(1), 285(b)(2), 285(c), 286, 288(a), 288(b), 288a(b)(1), 288a(b)(2), 288a(c), 289(h), 289(i), 289(j), and 647.6.

1. Watchtower was Aware of Campos' Molestation of Children Prior to the Abuse of Plaintiff

Baptized Male Publishers are recommended for positions as Elders and Ministerial Servants of local congregations by the existing Body of Elders of the congregations and approved directly by Watchtower. Elders and Ministerial Servants of local congregations are agents of both the local congregation to which they have been appointed, as well as Watchtower. "As against a principal, both principal and agent are deemed to have notice of whatever either has notice of, and ought, in good faith and the exercise of ordinary care and diligence, to communicate to the other." Santillan v. Roman Catholic Bishop of Fresno (2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 4, 10. For this reason, notice of sexual abuse by a Baptized Publisher to an Elder or Ministerial Servant of a local Congregation, is notice of that fact to both that Congregation and Watchtower.

Watchtower, through the Linda Vista Elders, gained actual knowledge of the molestation of John Doe by Campos in 1982, when Doe's mother informed the congregation's Elders of the abuse. John Doe informed at least one Elder of Linda Vista of the nature of Campos' conduct. Campos confessed that he "had touched [John Doe] inappropriately." No actions were taken to hold Campos accountable for his actions, or warn the congregation about the danger created by Campos. With actual knowledge of Campos' unfitness, Watchtower continued to utilize him as an agent in conscious disregard of the rights and safety of others, and later selected Campos to serve in responsible positions as a Ministerial Servant and Elder.

B. Watchtower Ratified Campos' Sexual Abuse of Children

Ratification is a form of vicarious liability. The principal may become liable for an originally unauthorized tort of the agent by the subsequent ratification of the tort. 3 Witkin, Summary 10th (2005) Agency, § 164, p. 207. The failure to discharge an agent or employee despite knowledge of his unfitness is evidence tending to show ratification and may expose the principal to punitive damages. See McChristian v. Popkin (1946) 75 Cal.App.2d 249, 256. "The theory of ratification is generally applied where an employer fails to investigate or respond to charges that an employee committed an intentional tort, such as assault or battery." Baptist v. Robinson (2006) 143 Cal.App.4th 151, 170; see also Murillo v. Rite Stuff Foods (1998) 65 Cal.App.4th 833, 852 (finding that defendant ratified assault and battery in a sexual harassment context.) Watchtower ratified Campos' molestation of children by continuing to utilize him as an agent after gaining full knowledge of Campos' molestation of children.

Watchtower ratified Campos' molestation of children, including Plaintiff, through its agents – the Elders of Linda Vista. Those Elders were made aware of Campos' molestation of John Doe in 1982. Watchtower's agents interviewed Doe twice, and Campos confessed to touching Doe inappropriately. (RJN at pp. 95-96, 97, PE 8, Doe Depo at pp. 10:24-11:2, 12:17-

22; RJN at pp. 26-27, PE 3, Campos Depo at pp. 24:5-25:20.) Watchtower's agents had full knowledge, or an opportunity to gain full knowledge regarding the abuse, but chose not to discipline Campos. By allowing Campos to retain his position as a Baptized Publisher, Watchtower's agents ratified Campos' sexual abuse of children. Later, in 1986, Watchtower's agents conducted a Judicial Committee regarding Campos' molestation of a child (presumably Plaintiff). (RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.) Campos confessed and after a nine month period of private censure was fully reinstated.

Notwithstanding the knowledge Watchtower gained through its agents in the Linda Vista Congregation, Watchtower repeatedly promoted Campos: first, to the position of Ministerial Servant and then to the positions of Elder and Congregation Secretary. Watchtower also ratified Campos' molestation of children by sitting on the Dorman letter of complaint for nearly two months before forwarding the letter to La Jolla, and then allowing La Jolla to take nine months to respond to the accusations, during which time Campos continued to molest. (RJN at p. 140, PE 15, April 13, 1994 letter; RJN at pp. 142, PE 16, June 9, 1994 letter; RJN at pp. 74-75, PE 5, March 29, 1995 letter.) Finally, Watchtower ratified Campos' abuse of children by knowingly permitting Campos to continue to serve as an Elder, Pioneer and the Secretary of La Jolla even after receiving written notice of Campos' abuse of John Dorman and one other child.

C. Watchtower was guilty of fraud, oppression and malice

"Malice does not require actual intent to harm." Angie M. v. Superior Court (1995) 37 Cal.App.4th 1217, 1228. "A conscious disregard for the safety of others may constitute the malice necessary to sustain a claim for punitive damages." Taylor, 24 Cal.3d at 895. "In order to justify an award of punitive damages on that basis, the plaintiff must establish that the defendant was aware of the probably dangerous consequences of his conduct, and willfully and deliberately failed to avoid those consequences." Blegen v. Superior Court (1981) 125

¿ \ 1

Cal.App.3d 959, 962-63. A plaintiff need not prove the defendant intended to injure the plaintiff, nut can show the defendant acted in conscious disregard of the safety of others.

Watchtower was aware of the frequent and repeated sexual abuse of children inflicted by Campos, but continued to hold him out as a competent agent who could be trusted with the temporary care and custody of children of the various congregations with which he was associated, including Plaintiff. Watchtower knew that Campos posed a substantial risk to minor children. Watchtower repeatedly allowed Campos to have further contact with young children, and acted in conscious disregard for the rights and safety of minor children, which resulted in the sexual abuse of Plaintiff. Watchtower's actions in covering up the criminal acts of a serial pedophile like Campos were despicable. Decent ordinary people would look down upon and despise the Watchtower's contemptible decision to cover up sexual abuse, to allow Campos to continue to sexually abuse young children, and to purposefully decline to warn congregants of the danger he posed. The result of Watchtower's conduct was that several children, including Plaintiff, were needlessly subjected to Campos, and were sexually molested as a result.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion should be granted, and the proposed amended complaint attached as Plaintiff's Exhibit 26 should be deemed filed.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 2 - 5 - 13

Devin M. Storey Attorney for Plaintiff