COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

FILED DENDORSED JAN 19 2023 60 -Deputy Clerk Bv≠

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM #1 FIRST CLAIM: ELDER NEGLECT

 \bigcirc

We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. must: 1) prove questions 1 through 4 by the more likely to be true than not true standard, and 2) prove question 5 by clear and convincing evidence:

First Claim: Elder Neglect

1. Was Sam Rios, Jr. 65 years of age or older at all times relevant to his claim for damages against defendants?

X Yes No

The parties have stipulated that Sam Rios, Jr. was 65 years or older at all times relevant to his claim for damages. Please move to question 2.

2. Was Sam Rios, Jr. in defendants' care or custody?

Pine Creek	X Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	🔀 Yes	No
Bay Bridge	<u> </u>	No

If your answer to question 2 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 3 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

3. Did defendants neglect Sam Rios, Jr.?

Pine Creek	\underline{X} Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	<u>X</u> Yes	No
Bay Bridge	_x_Yes	No

If your answer to question 3 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 4 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form. 4. Was defendants' neglect a substantial factor in causing harm to Sam Rios, Jr.?

Pine Creek	$\underline{\chi}$ Yes	No .
Plum Healthcare Group	🔀 Yes	No
Bay Bridge	🔀 Yes	No

If your answer to question 4 is yes as to any defendant(s), then you are instructed to do two things as to each such defendant: 1) answer question 5 below; and 2) answer the damages questions on Verdict Form # 8- "Sam Rios, Jr.'s Damages". If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

Special Finding

5. In connection with your findings in questions 1- 4 above, was conduct constituting malice, oppression, or fraud 1) engaged in, or 2) authorized by, or 3) approved after the fact by one or more of defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents, or 4) did one or more of defendants' officers, directors, directors, or managing agents have advance knowledge of the unfitness of one or more employees who engaged in the wrongful conduct and still retain such employee(s) with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others?

Pine Creek No Plum Healthcare Group No **Bay Bridge** No DATED: oreperson-signature Juror Foreperson Number:

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

ENDORSED 19 2023 JAN By A Clerk

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

1 Rios v Daisy Holdings 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

SPECIAL VERDICT # 2 – SECOND CLAIM: ELDER NEGLECT – ENHANCED REMEDIES Please proceed to answer the following questions regarding the Second Claim:

Second Claim: Elder Neglect – Enhanced Remedies

We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. must prove the following questions by clear and convincing evidence:

1. Do you answer yes to the questions 1- 4 for the First Claim (Elder Neglect)?

Pine Creek	<u> </u>	No
Plum Healthcare Group	🔀 Yes	No
Bay Bridge	<u>×</u> Yes	No

If your answer to question 1 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 2 as to any such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and go to the next verdict form.

2. Did defendants act with recklessness, malice, oppression or fraud in connection with their neglect of Sam Rios, Jr.?

Pine Creek	<u> </u>	No
Plum Healthcare Group	🔀 Yes	No
Bay Bridge	📉 Yes	No

If your answer to question 2 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 3 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

3. Did one or more defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents 1) engage in, 2) authorize, 3) approve after the fact the wrongful conduct you have found in response to question 2 above, or 4) have advance knowledge of the unfitness of one or more employees who engaged in such wrongful conduct and still retain such employee(s) with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others?

> 2 Rios v Daisy Holdings 34-2018-00244263



Pine Creek	$\underline{\times}$ Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	Yes	No
Bay Bridge	💢 Yes	No

If your answer to question 3 is yes as to any defendant, then you are instructed to do two things as to those defendants: 1) answer question 4 below; and 2) answer the damages questions on Verdict Form # 8 - "Sam Rios, Jr.'s Damages". If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

Special Finding

4. In connection with questions 1-3 above, was conduct constituting malice, oppression, or fraud 1) engaged in, or 2) authorized by, or 3) approved after the fact by one or more of defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents, or 4) did one or more of defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents have advance knowledge of the unfitness of one or more employees who engaged in the wrongful conduct and still retain such employee(s) with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others?

Pine Creek No **Plum Healthcare Group** No Bay Bridge No 01/19-/2023 DATED: Juror/Foreperson-signature **Juror Foreperson Number:**

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

FI	LED ENDORSED
	JAN 19 2023
Ву	Deputy Clerk

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

·* · · ·

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT # 3 – THIRD CLAIM: CUSTODIAL NEGLIGENCE



THIRD CLAIM: CUSTODIAL NEGLIGENCE

We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. must: 1) prove questions 1 and 2 by the more likely to be true than not true standard, and 2) prove question 3 by clear and convincing evidence:

1. Did defendants fail to act reasonably in caring for Mr. Rios' custodial needs?

Pine Creek	$\underline{\chi}$ Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	X Yes	No
Bay Bridge	$\frac{X}{X}$ Yes	<u> </u>

If your answer to question 1 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 2 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

2. Was defendants' failure to act reasonably in caring for Mr. Rios a substantial factor in causing harm to Sam Rios, Jr.?

Pine Creek	\underline{X} Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	X Yes	No
Bay Bridge	\underline{X} Yes \underline{X} Yes	No

If your answer to question 2 is yes as to any defendant(s), then you are instructed to do two things as to each such defendant: 1) answer question 3 below and 2) answer the damages questions on Verdict Form # 8 - "Sam Rios, Jr.'s Damages". If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

3. In connection with your findings in questions 1-2 above, was conduct constituting malice, oppression, or fraud 1) engaged in, or 2) authorized by, or 3) approved after the fact by one or more of defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents, or 4) did one or more of defendants' officers, directors, directors, or managing agents have advance knowledge of the unfitness of one or more employees who engaged in the wrongful conduct and still retain such employee(s) with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others?

Pine Creek Plum Healthcare Group Bay Bridge

\underline{X} Yes	No
$\underline{\chi}$ Yes	No
X Yes	No

01/19 2023 DATED:

oreperson signature

Juror Foreperson Number:

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

ILED) ENDORSED JAN 19 2023 By A. 😁 Deputy Clerk

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM # 4 – FOURTH CLAIM: CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD Please proceed to answer the following questions regarding the Fourth Claim:

Fourth Claim: Constructive Fraud

We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. must: (1) prove questions 1-5 by the more likely to be true than not true standard and (2) prove question 6 by clear and convincing evidence:

1. Was Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. in defendants' care or custody?

Pine Creek	_∕_Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	\underline{X} Yes	No
Bay Bridge	<u> </u>	No

If your answer to question 1 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 2 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

2. Did defendants act on Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. behalf for the purposes of providing care for his health needs?

Pine Creek	<u> </u>	No
Plum Healthcare Group	🔀 Yes	No
Bay Bridge	<u> </u>	No

If your answer to question 2 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 3 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

3. Did defendants mislead Mr. Rios by failing to disclose to him that they had failed to sufficiently staff Pine Creek as necessary to ensure appropriate care for its patients, including Mr. Rios?

Pine Creek Plum Healthcare Group



No No

2 Rios v Daisy Holdings 34-2018-00244263



Bay Bridge

X Yes

No

If your answer to question 3 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 4 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

4. Was Sam Rios, Jr. harmed?



If your answer to question 4 is yes as to any defendant(s), then answer question 5 as to each such defendant. If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

5. Was defendants' conduct described in question 3 a substantial factor in causing Sam Rios, Jr.'s harm?

Pine Creek	X Yes	No
Plum Healthcare Group	🔀 Yes	No
Bay Bridge	🔀 Yes	No

If your answer to question 5 is yes as to any defendant(s), then you are instructed to do two things as to each such defendant: 1) answer question 6 below and 2) answer the damages questions on Verdict Form # 8- "Sam Rios, Jr.'s Damages". If you answered no as to all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

Special Finding

6. In connection with your findings in questions 1-5 above, was conduct constituting malice, oppression, or fraud 1) engaged in, or 2) authorized by, or 3) approved after the fact by one or more of defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents, or 4) did one or more of defendants' officers, directors, directors, or managing agents have advance knowledge of the unfitness of one or more employees who engaged in the wrongful conduct

and still retain such employee(s) with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others?

Pine Creek Yes No Yes Plum Healthcare Group No Yes No Bay Bridge DATED: Nure 01 2023 Juror/Foreperson signature Juror Foreperson Number:

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

FILED / ENDORSED 2023 Byд Deputy Clerk

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

۷S.

~ 'Y

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM # 5 – FIFTH CLAIM: VIOLATION OF PATIENTS' RIGHTS We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. must prove the following questions by the more likely to be true than not true standard:

Fifth Claim: Violation of Patients' Rights

1. Was Sam Rios, Jr. a resident of Pine Creek Care Center?

X Yes No

The parties have stipulated that Sam Rios, Jr. was a resident of Pine Creek Care Center from April 15, 2017 to April 29, 2017. Please proceed to question 2.

2. During Sam Rios, Jr.'s residency at Pine Creek Care Center, did Pine Creek violate any of his patient rights as set forth in Instruction 418?



If your answer to question 2 is yes or no, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form.

DATED:

01/19/2023 <u>Nayment un</u> Juror Foreperson signature Juror Foreperson Number:

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

ENDORSED JAN 19 2023 By-A Deputy Clerk

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM # 6 -SIXTH CLAIM: WRONGFUL DEATH DAMAGES

Please proceed to answer the following questions regarding the Damages:

Claim Number Six: Wrongful Death Damages

We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiffs Christina Ramirez-Rios, Olivia M. Rios-Acuña, Anselmo Ramirez-Rios, Bernardo Ramirez-Rios, Velin Rios, Samuel Rios, Ruben Rios, Reyes Rios, and Tomas Rios must prove the following questions by the more likely to be true than not true standard:

1. If you have found liability for the First, Second, Third or Fourth Claim, do you find that Defendants' wrongful conduct was a substantial factor in causing Sam Rios, Jr.'s death?

First Claim (Elder Neglect) Pine Creek Plum Healthcare Group Bay Bridge	X Yes _X Yes _X Yes	No No No
Second Claim (Elder Neglect Enhanced I Pine Creek Plum Healthcare Group Bay Bridge	Remedies) _ <u>X</u> Yes _ <u>X</u> Yes _XYes	No No No
Third Claim (Custodial Negligence) Pine Creek Plum Healthcare Group Bay Bridge	<u> </u>	No No No
Fourth Claim (Constructive Fraud) Pine Creek Plum Healthcare Group Bay Bridge	<u> </u>	No No No

If your answer to question 1 was yes as to any defendant on any claim, then answer questions 2-10. Note: Mr. Rios' heirs are listed by his wife first followed by each of his children in order of youngest to eldest. If you answered no as to claims and all defendants, answer no further questions on this verdict form, have the presiding juror sign and date this form, and move to the next verdict form. 2. What are Christina Ramirez-Rios' damages for the loss of her husband's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, and moral support?

\$ 1,750,000

3. What are Olivia M. Rios-Acuña's damages for the loss of her father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

4. What are Anselmo Ramirez-Rios' damages for the loss of his father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

5. What are Bernardo Ramirez-Rios' damages for the loss of his father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

6. What are Tomas Rios' damages for the loss of his father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?



7. What are Reyes Rios' damages for the loss of his father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

8. What are Velin Rios' damages for the loss of her father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

3 Rios v Daisy Holdings 34-2018-00244263 9. What are Ruben Rios' damages for the loss of his father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

10. What are Samuel Rios' damages for the loss of his father's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, training, and guidance?

\$ 150,000

DATED:

01/19/2023

Juror Fóreperson signature Juror Foreperson Number:

After all verdict forms have been signed, notify the court attendant that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.

4 Rios v Daisy Holdings 34-2018-00244263

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO



SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM #7 -VICARIOUS LIABILITY

Please proceed to answer the following questions regarding Vicarious Liability:

If you have awarded damages against any defendant in any other verdict form, please answer the following questions. We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us by the more likely to be true than not true standard:

1. Were Plum Healthcare Group, Bay Bridge, or New Sisu aiders and abettors in Pine Creek's wrongful conduct as alleged in Claims One, Two, Three or Four ?

Plum Healthcare Group	$\underline{\times}$ Yes	No
Bay Bridge	X Yes	No
New Sisu	<u> </u>	No

Regardless of how you have answered question 1, answer question 2.

2. Were Plum Healthcare Group, Bay Bridge, or New Sisu coconspirators in Pine Creek's wrongful conduct as alleged in Claims One, Two, Three or Four?

Plum Healthcare Group Bay Bridge New Sisu

01/19/2023

DATED:

No No Knou Juror Foreperson signature **Juror Foreperson Number:**

No

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

EILED / PNDORSE
JAN 19 2023
By Azer Deputy Cler

SAM RIOS, JR., by and through his successor-in-interest, CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS; CHRISTINA RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually, OLIVIA M. RIOS-ACUÑA, individually; ANSELMO ANTONIO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; BERNARDO RAMIREZ-RIOS, individually; REYES RIOS, individually; SAMUEL RIOS, individually; RUBEN RIOS, individually; VELIN RIOS, individually; and TOMAS RIOS, individually,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DAISY HOLDINGS LLC dba PINE CREEK CARE CENTER; PLUM HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC; BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC; NEW SISU HOLDCO, LLC; FLOWER FARM GROUP, LLC; OPCO HOLDINGS, LLC; CALIFORNIA OPCO, LLC.

Defendants.

Case Number: 34-2018-00244263

Department: 27

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM #8 -SAM RIOS, JR.'S DAMAGES

Please proceed to answer the following questions regarding the Damages:

Sam Rios, Jr.'s Damages

We, the jury, answer all the following questions submitted to us, understanding that we have been instructed that Plaintiff Sam Rios, Jr. must prove the following questions by the more likely to be true than not true standard:

- 1. What were Sam Rios, Jr.'s total damages for physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, grief, anxiety, humiliation, and emotional distress resulting from defendants' conduct upon which you base your findings of liability as to any of the following verdict forms: Elder Neglect (Claim One), Elder Neglect Enhanced Remedies (Claim Two), Custodial Negligence (Claim Three), and Constructive Fraud (Claim Four)? Damages may be awarded here only once regardless of the number of claims upon which you found liability.
- What were Sam Rios, Jr.'s past medical bills resulting from defendants' conduct upon which you base your findings of liability as to any of the following verdict forms: Elder Neglect (Claim One), Elder Neglect Enhanced Remedies (Claim Two), Custodial Negligence (Claim Three), and Constructive Fraud (Claim Four)?
 Damages may be awarded here only once regardless of the number of claims upon which you found liability.

\$ 212,802,

\$ 2,750,000

DATED:

01/19/2023

Juror/Foreperson signature Juror Foreperson Number: